

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,638	12/30/2003	Ju-Kil Lee	21C-0105	6887
23413 7	7590 11/03/2006		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			NUTTER, NATHAN M	
55 GRIFFIN R BLOOMFIELI	OAD SOUTH D. CT 06002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1711	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/748,638	LEE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nathan M. Nutter	1711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S	eptember 2006.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-13</u> is/are pending in the applidable of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-13</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
,	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ / a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  tee the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	Date			

#### DETAILED ACTION

This application has been re-assigned to Examiner Nathan M. Nutter in Art Unit 1711. All inquiries regarding this application should be directed to Examiner Nutter at telephone number 571-272-1076.

#### Response to Amendment

In view of the Response filed 19 September 2006, the following is being placed in effect.

The rejection of claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawamura et al (US 6,887,909) (or) Kitabatake (US 6,369,133) is hereby expressly withdrawn.

The following new grounds of rejection are being made.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The identities of the polybasic acid and the anhydrous polybasic acid are not enabled by the Specification. At page 8 (lines 4-7), the Specification teaches the use of polybasic acids that may embrace the anhydrous polybasic acid as disclosed at page 9 (lines 20-22), yet a distinction in nomenclature is presented in the claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation in claim 1 of "condensing polyethylene terephthalate using glycol and polybasic acid" renders the claim as vague. Is the polyester being made using a glycol and polybasic acid alone, or is the polyester prepared by first producing a polyethylene terephthalate and subsequently reacting this with a glycol and polybasic acid? Further, the recitation of "about 5-20 parts by weight of anhydrous polybasic acid and amine" is not clear. Are there 5-20 parts by weight of only the anhydrous polybasic acid? Or, are the 5-20 parts by weight inclusive of both the anhydrous polybasic acid and the amine together? This is not clear since there are no recitations concerning parts by weight for the other constituents. Further, it is not clear if all of the glycol, polybasic acid, anhydrous polybasic acid (which appears to embrace the term polybasic acid alone) and amine are all condensed together at a single time. The claims are even more confusing since terephthalic acid is a polybasic acid.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tai et al (US 5,686,553), newly cited.

The reference to Tai et al (US 5,686,553) teaches the manufacture of a polyester resin which at column 16 (lines 1-38) may be produced by the addition of terephthlic acid and glycol with polybasic acids, which may be included in mixtures of two or more. Inclusion is also shown at column 25 (lines 8-19) for polybasic acids. The reference shows the addition of an amine and the reasons for that addition at column 29 (lines 39-51).

Although the reference is silent with respect to the acid values, hydroxyl values and the molecular weight of the polyester resin, these features are deemed to be within the purview of the reference compositions. The instant claims provide no guidance for producing these values, and, as such, are not deemed excluded from the teachings of the reference otherwise. A skilled artisan would have a high level of expectation of success to produce the invention, as claimed, in view of the teachings of the reference. No unexpected results have been shown on the record.

Due to the new grounds of rejection, this action is not being made FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 571-272-1076. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000,

Vall

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1711

nmn

27 October 2006